

TSPLOST 2023
TSPLOST Advisory Committee (TSAC)
Wednesday, October 27, 2021
WebEx 5:30 PM – 8:30 PM

This meeting can be viewed at: <https://youtu.be/UspcJ1ukf7M>

- I. Call Meeting to order / Welcome / Comments
- II. Review/discuss draft Work Session Presentation
- III. Other Discussion
- IV. Next Meeting Date – Monday, November 1, 2021
- V. Adjournment

TSPLOST 2023
TSPLOST Advisory Committee (TSAC)
Wednesday, October 20, 2021
WebEx 5:30 – 9:30 PM

This meeting can be viewed at: <https://youtu.be/b8BZBBUapuc>

The Athens-Clarke County TSPLOST 2023 Advisory Committee held a meeting on Wednesday, October 20, 2021, at 5:30 p.m. The meeting was held virtually via WebEx.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Lauren Blais, Bob Bonsall, Daun Fest, Jeremy Field, Teresa Friedlander, Sarah Gehring, Katie Goodrum, Patrina Huff, Jon Jefferson, Andrea Jolliffe, Allen Jones, Scott Long, Daryl McManus, Mallory O’Steen, Frank Platt, Rocky Raffle, Jeff Scarbrough, Madeline VanDyck, Travis Williams

MEMBERS ABSENT: Justin Bray, Jen Calabria

STAFF PRESENT: Ashley Barnett, Patty Bidinger, Robert Cheshire, Joseph D’Angelo, Derek Doster, Sarah George

GUEST PRESENT: None

WELCOME/COMMENTS:

Lauren Blais called the meeting to order at 5:33 p.m. and welcomed those in attendance.

MEETING MINUTES REVIEW & APPROVAL:

Review and approval of the minutes from October 18, 2021. Frank Platt made a motion to approve and Daryl McManus seconded the motion. The minutes were approved unanimously.

STRAW POLLING:

The Committee retained the existing project consideration limits that 12 or more votes were required for the recommended list of projects and projects with four or less votes would be removed from further consideration. Derek Doster presented the Round 4 results that the Committee completed on their own time, prior to the meeting.

RESULTS OF ROUND 4 VOTING

Round four voting resulted in eight projects receiving enough votes (12 or more) to be recommended. Additionally, five projects were removed from further consideration because they received four or less votes.

ROUND ROBIN 4 DISCUSSION

Each Committee member was given an opportunity to speak for 90 seconds, in accordance with the straw polling process. The Committee then went into a 10 minute open discussion.

RESULTS OF ROUND 5 VOTING

The Committee took no action to change the limits from projects with 12 or more votes to be on the recommended list of projects and projects with four or less votes would be removed from further consideration.

Round five voting resulted in two projects receiving enough votes (14 or more) to be added to the list of recommended projects. Additionally, two projects were removed from further consideration because they received four or less votes.

ROUND ROBIN 5 DISCUSSION

Each Committee member was given an opportunity to speak for 90 seconds, in accordance with the approved process. The Committee then went into a 10 minute discussion.

RESULTS OF ROUND 6 VOTING

The Committee took no action to change the limits from projects with 12 or more votes to be on the recommended list of projects and projects with four or less votes would be removed from further consideration.

Round six voting resulted in five projects receiving enough votes (12 or more) to be added to the list of recommended projects. Additionally, one project was removed from further consideration because it received four votes or less.

ROUND ROBIN 6 DISCUSSION

Each Committee member was given an opportunity to speak for 90 seconds, in accordance with the approved process. The Committee then went into a 10 minute discussion.

RESULTS OF ROUND 7 VOTING

The Committee took no action to change the limits from projects with 12 or more votes to be on the recommended list of projects and projects with four or less votes would be removed from further consideration.

Round seven voting resulted in five projects receiving enough votes (12 or more) to be added to the list of recommended projects. No project received four votes or less.

ROUND ROBIN 7 DISCUSSION

Each Committee member was given an opportunity to speak for 90 seconds, in accordance with the approved process. The Committee then went into a 10 minute discussion.

RESULTS OF ROUND 8 VOTING

The Committee took no action to change the limits from projects with 12 or more votes to be on the recommended list of projects and projects with four or less votes would be removed from further consideration.

Round eight voting resulted in no projects receiving enough votes (12 or more) to be added to the list of recommended projects. However, two projects were removed from further consideration because they received four votes or less.

ROUND ROBIN 8 DISCUSSION

Each Committee member was given an opportunity to speak for 90 seconds, in accordance with the approved process. The Committee then went into a 10 minute discussion.

After the Round Robin 8 discussion, Jeff Scarbrough made a motion to accept the Round 8 results and submit to the Mayor & Commission for the Committee's 150% recommendation for potential projects. Teresa Friedlander seconded the motion and the motion carried with a unanimous vote.

Committee Chair Lauren Blais asked any Committee member who was interested in contributing towards the preparation of the Work Session for the Mayor & Commission to send her an e-mail.

INFORMATION / NEXT MEETING DATE

- The next meeting of the Committee is Wednesday, October 27, 2021 at 5:30 p.m. Meeting will be virtual.

ADJOURNMENT

The meeting adjourned at 10:07 p.m.

The above summation is an interpretation of the items discussed and decisions reached at the above referenced meeting, not a transcript of the meeting. A video recording of the meeting is available at the above listed YouTube Website. Anyone desiring to add to, or otherwise correct the minutes, is requested to return written comments to the SPLOST Administrator within three days or by the date of the next meeting, whichever comes first.



Derek Doster
SPLOST Project Administrator